

# **Treatment Alternatives and Diversion (TAD) Program**

## **TAD Participant Data Summary By Project Site 1/1/2007-12/31/2013**

**A companion document to “Treatment Alternatives and Diversion (TAD ) Program:  
Participant Outcome Evaluation and Cost-Benefit Report (2007-2013)”**

**REVISED JULY 25, 2014**

<b>SUMMARY OF OVERALL TAD PROJECT DATA BY MODEL.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>SUMMARY OF TAD PROJECT ADMISSIONS BY SITE AND MODEL.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>SUMMARY OF TAD PROJECT DISCHARGES BY SITE AND MODEL.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>INCARCERATION AVERTED BY SITE AND MODEL.....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>CASE OUTCOMES BY SITE AND MODEL.....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>CRIMINAL JUSTICE OUTCOMES BY SITE.....</b>	<b>29</b>

## SUMMARY OF TAD PROJECT ADMISSIONS

<b>Table Overall-A: Selected Demographics for TAD Admissions 1/1/2007 - 12/31/2013</b>			
	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>	<b>All TAD Projects</b>
	<b>N = 653</b>	<b>N = 2,440</b>	<b>N = 3,093</b>
<b>Gender</b>			
Male	74%	73%	73%
Female	26	27	27
<b>Age</b>			
17-25 years	51%	47%	48%*
26-35 years	28	28	28
36-45 years	14	14	14
46+ years	7	11	10
<b>[Average in years]</b>	28 years	30 years	29 years*
<b>Race</b>			
Caucasian	83%	61%	66%*
African American	12	34	30
Native American	4	3	3
Asian	<1	<1	<1
Other	<1	2	1
<b>Ethnicity</b>			
Non-Hispanic	98%	94%	94%*
Hispanic	2	6	6
Unknown	<1	<1	<1
<b>Living Situation at Admission</b>			
Independent living	44%	28%	31%*
With parents/other relatives	50	60	58
Incarcerated	1	8	6
Residential treatment	<1	<1	<1
Halfway house	<1	<1	<1
Transitional living	<1	<1	<1
Homeless	<1	2	2
Other	3	<1	1
<b>Education at Admission</b>			
Grade 10 or below	14%	16%	16%*
Grade 11	19	17	18
High School or grade 12	31	31	31
GED/HSED	17	9	10
Vocational degree/certificate	2	3	3
Some college/1-2 years	13	19	18
Associate degree	3	2	2
College degree	1	3	2
Advanced degree	0	<1	<1

<b>Table Overall-A: Selected Demographics for TAD Admissions 1/1/2007 - 12/31/2013</b>			
	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>	<b>All TAD Projects</b>
	<b>N = 653</b>	<b>N = 2,440</b>	<b>N = 3,093</b>
<b>Veteran Status</b>	2%	<1%	1%
<b>Employed at Admission</b>	N=653	N=2,439	N=3,092
Yes- full-time	22%	26%	25%*
Yes- part-time	13	12	12
Yes-seasonal	3	3	3
Not employed-looking	49	41	43
Not employed-not looking	7	16	14
No-disability	5	1	2
No-unavailable to work	<1	<1	<1
<b>Offense at Admission</b>			
Drug-related	71%	57%	60%*
Property/fraud	17	14	14
OWI	4	19	16
Disorderly conduct	<1	3	2
Criminal damage/endanger safety	1	2	2
Bail jumping	2	1	2
Other	4	4	4
<b>Admitted as ATR (alternative to probation/parole revocation)</b>	16%	8%	9%*
<b>Average Age at First Arrest</b>	N=652 20.9	N=2,439 23.7	N=3,091 23.1*
<b>Average Lifetime Arrests</b>	N=652 6.7	N=2,439 3.9	N=3,091 4.5*
<b>Currently on probation</b>	38%	9%	15%*
<b>Currently on parole (ES)</b>	5%	1%	2%*
<b>Motivation To Change Criminal Behavior (staff rating)</b>			
Low	14%	8%	9%*
Medium	44	26	30
High	41	12	18
Missing/No Data/Unable to Rate	<1	54	43

<b>Table Overall-A: Selected Demographics for TAD Admissions 1/1/2007 - 12/31/2013</b>			
	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>	<b>All TAD Projects</b>
	<b>N = 653</b>	<b>N = 2,440</b>	<b>N = 3,093</b>
<b>Risk Assessment Instrument</b>			
WI DOC Risk	1%	23%	18%*
LSI-R/LSI-RSV/LS/CMI	62	16	25
Modeling Solutions – LLC	37	0	8
J2K-PRAT/MCPRAI-R	0	60	48
COMPAS	<1	1	<1
Missing	<1	<1	<1
<b>Criminal Risk Rating</b>			
Low	35%	17%	20%*
Moderate	42	52	50
High	22	30	29
Missing/unknown	1	1	1
<b>Criminal Need Rating</b>			
Low	3%	14%	12%*
Moderate	9	56	46
High	88	29	41
Missing/unknown	<1	<1	<1
<b>Substance Use Diagnosis</b>			
Alcohol Dependence	14%	17%	16%*
Cannabis Dependence	50	25	30
Cocaine Dependence	6	10	10
Amphetamine Dependence	2	0	<1
Methamphetamine Dependence	2	<1	<1
Opiate Dependence	18	16	16
Alcohol Abuse	<1	12	10
Cannabis Abuse	<1	5	4
Cocaine Abuse	0	1	<1
Polysubstance Dependence	6	5	5
Polysubstance Abuse	<1	6	5
Heroin Dependence	<1	0	0
Not Assessed/other	<1	3	2
<b>Drug of Choice</b>			
Alcohol	14%	29%	26%*
Amphetamines	1	0	<1
Cocaine/crack	7	12	11
Marijuana	53	33	37
Opiates	21	24	23
Methamphetamine	3	<1	<1
Missing/Other	0.9	2	2

<b>Table Overall-A: Selected Demographics for TAD Admissions 1/1/2007 - 12/31/2013</b>			
	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>	<b>All TAD Projects</b>
	<b>N = 653</b>	<b>N = 2,440</b>	<b>N = 3,093</b>
<b>Motivation To Engage In Substance Abuse Treatment</b>			
Low	20%	11%	13%*
Medium	47	26	30
High	32	10	15
Missing/No Data	<1	53	42
<b>Prior AODA Treatment</b>			
Average # of episodes for those with prior treatment	N=369 2	N=1006 2	N=1375 2
% had prior treatment	57%	41%	45%*
<b>Mental Health Disorder</b>	N=653	N=2439	N=3092
No Diagnosis	21%	73%	62%*
Axis I Diagnosis	12	5	20
Not Assessed	67	22	18
<i>*difference significant at p&lt;.05 or better</i>			

**SUMMARY OF OVERALL TAD PROJECT DISCHARGE DATA**

<b>Table Overall-B: TAD Discharge Characteristics 1/1/2007 - 12/31/2013</b>			
	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>	<b>All TAD Projects</b>
	<b>N = 579</b>	<b>N = 2,316</b>	<b>N = 2,895</b>
<b>Completion Rate</b>	56%	68%	66%*
<b>Number Admitted Thru December 31, 2013</b>	653	2,440	3,093
<b>Number Discharged Thru December 31, 2013</b> (excludes administrative terminations)	570	2,257	2,827
Completed/Graduated	320	1,536	1,856
Terminated	259	780	1,039
<b>Reason for Termination</b> <b>[% of those terminated]</b>	N=259	N=779	N=1,038
Program non-compliance	65%	68%	67%*
Assessed only	4	6	6
New charge/arrest/conviction	16	4	7
Incarcerated	<1	7	5
Absconded	12	3	5
Other (death, mental health issues, transfer to other program)	3	12	10
<b>Average Length of Stay (in days)</b>	320 days	158 days	191 days*
Graduate/Completers	386 days	175 days	211 days*
Terminations	239 days	125 days	153 days*
<b>Average Number of Case Manager Contacts</b>	36 contacts	52 contacts	49 contacts*
<b>Percent Received....</b>			
AODA inpatient/residential treatment	17%	11%	12%*
AODA halfway house/group home	10	4	5*
AODA day treatment	21	8	11*
AODA outpatient treatment	83	67	70*
AODA outpatient-intensive	5	4	4
AODA outpatient-MATRIX model	10	1	3*
Support groups (AA, CA, etc)	54	35	38*
Mental health inpatient treatment	2	<1	1
Mental health outpatient treatment	34	15	19*
Employment services	47	23	28*
Education services	34	18	21*
Housing services	26	6	10*
Assistance with finances	30	4	9*

<b>Table Overall-B: TAD Discharge Characteristics 1/1/2007 - 12/31/2013</b>			
	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>	<b>All TAD Projects</b>
	<b>N = 579</b>	<b>N = 2,316</b>	<b>N = 2,895</b>
<b>Average # Court Hearings Scheduled</b>	22	4	8*
Graduates/Completers	25	4	8*
Terminations	19	4	8*
<b>Average # Court Hearings Attended</b>	22	4	7 *
Graduates/Completers	25	4	7*
Terminations	18	4	7*
<b>Urinalysis Testing</b>			
Average # tests scheduled	70	11	23
Average # tests negative	61	8	18
Average # tests positive	6	3	4
Average # tests other (refused, diluted, tampered, etc.)	3	1	1
<b>Breathanalysis (PBT) Testing</b>			
Average # tests scheduled	43	2	10
Average # tests negative	42	2	10
Average # tests positive	0.20	0.03	0.06
Average # tests other	2	0.01	0.39
<b>Electronic Monitoring (EM)</b>			
Received any EM	6%	2%	3%*
Average # days if monitored	N=33 40 days	N=55 42 days	N=88 41 days
<b>Incarceration Days Averted</b>			
Total Days Averted	92,608	138,925	231,533
Average Days Averted Per Discharge	160	60	80*
Graduate/Completer	282	86	120*
Termination	9	9	9
<b>Jail Days Averted</b>			
Total Jail Days Averted	35,543	105,672	141,215
Average Jail Days Averted	70	47	51*
Graduate/Completer	140	67	77*
Termination	2	9	8*
<b>Prison Days Averted</b>			
Total Prison Days Averted	57,065	33,253	90,318
Average Prison Days Averted	782	545	674*
Graduate/Completer	791	545	676*
Termination	570	NA	570

<b>Table Overall-B: TAD Discharge Characteristics 1/1/2007 - 12/31/2013</b>			
	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>	<b>All TAD Projects</b>
	<b>N = 579</b>	<b>N = 2,316</b>	<b>N = 2,895</b>
<b>Case Outcomes:</b>			
Case Dismissed	17%	30%	28%*
Graduate/Completer	30	46	43
Termination	<1	<1	<1
Reduced charge	29	19	21
Graduate/Completer	51	29	33
Termination	1.5	<1	<1
Completed ATR	9	3	4
Graduate/Completer	17	4	6
Termination	0	<1	<1
Did Not Complete ATR	6	4	4
Graduate/Completer	0	0	0
Termination	13	11	11
Charged/Prosecution Reinstated	36	33	34
Graduate/Completer	<1	12	10
Termination	80	74	76
Other/DPA Remains	3	11	9
Graduate/Completer	2	9	8
Termination	5	14	12
<i>*difference significant at p&lt;.05 or better</i>			

**BY PROJECT SITE**

**TREATMENT COURTS**

<b>Table 1A: TAD Treatment Courts -- Selected Demographics for Admissions 1/1/2007 - 12/31/2013</b>					
	<b>Burnett</b>	<b>Washburn</b>	<b>Rock</b>	<b>Wood</b>	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>
	<b>N = 50</b>	<b>N = 42</b>	<b>N = 438</b>	<b>N = 123</b>	<b>N = 653</b>
<b>Gender</b>					
Male	58%	81%	75%	72%	74%*
Female	42	19	25	28	26
<b>Age</b>					
17-25 years	18%	48%	52%	61%	51%*
26-35 years	24	29	29	21	28
36-45 years	26	16	12	13	14
46+ years	22	7	7	5	7
<b>[Average Age]</b>	36 years	29 years	28 years	27 years	28 years*
<b>Race</b>					
Caucasian	62%	93%	80%	96%	83%*
African American	0	0	18	2	12
Native American	38	7	0	2	4
Asian	0	0	<1	0	<1
Other	0	0	<1	<1	<1
<b>Ethnicity</b>					
Non-Hispanic	96%	98%	98%	97%	98%*
Hispanic	0	2	2	3	2
Unknown	4	0	0	0	<1
<i>*difference significant at p&lt;.05 or better</i>					

**DIVERSION PROJECTS**

<b>Table 1B: TAD Diversion Projects -- Selected Demographics for Admissions 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>						
	<b>Ashland†</b>	<b>Bayfield†</b>	<b>Dane</b>	<b>Milwaukee</b>	<b>Washington</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>
	<b>N = 28</b>	<b>N = 44</b>	<b>N = 212</b>	<b>N = 1,597</b>	<b>N = 559</b>	<b>N = 2,440</b>
<b>Gender</b>						
Male	64%	84%	67%	74%	73%	73%
Female	36	16	33%	26	27	27
<b>Age</b>						
17-25 years	46%	20%	45%	52%	34%	47%*
26-35 years	18	30	30	26	36	28
36-45 years	18	30	15	13	15	14
46+ years	18	20	10	9	15	11
<b>[Average Age]</b>	31 years	36 years	30 years	29 years	32 years	30 years*
<b>Race</b>						
Caucasian	71%	48%	65%	48%	97%	61%*
African American	0	0	32	48	1	34
Native American	29	52	<1	1	1	3
Asian	0	0	<1	<1	0	<1
Other	0	0	2	2	<1	2
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
Non-Hispanic	96%	98%	93%	92%	99%	94%*
Hispanic	4	2	7	8	1	6
Unknown	0	0	<1	0	<1	<1

\*difference significant at  $p < .05$  or better †Ashland and Bayfield for CY 2012 and CY 2013.

**TREATMENT COURTS**

<b>Table 2A: TAD Treatment Courts – Selected Demographic Description of TAD Admissions 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>					
	<b>Burnett</b>	<b>Washburn</b>	<b>Rock</b>	<b>Wood</b>	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>
	<b>N = 50</b>	<b>N = 42</b>	<b>N = 438</b>	<b>N = 123</b>	<b>N = 653</b>
<b>Living Situation at Admission</b>					
Independent living	62%	50%	42%	42%	44%*
With parents/other relatives	28	48	54	47	50
Incarcerated	10	2	<1	<1	1
Residential treatment	0	0	0	2	<1
Halfway house	0	0	0	<1	<1
Transitional living	0	0	<1	3	<1
Homeless	0	0	<1	<1	<1
Other	0	0	3	4	3
<b>Education at Admission</b>					
Grade 10 or below	8%	14%	17%	6%	14%*
Grade 11	20	10	20	15	19
High School or grade 12	22	31	29	42	31
GED/HSED	30	29	15	13	17
Vocational degree/certificate	6	0	2	2	2
Some college/1-2 years	10	12	13	16	13
Associate degree	2	2	3	4	3
College degree	2	2	<1	2	1
Advanced degree	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Veteran Status</b>					
	0%	2%	2%	2%	2%
<b>Employed at Admission</b>					
Yes- full-time	32%	29%	21%	20%	22%*
Yes- part-time	6	9	13	16	13
Yes-seasonal	6	5	2	7	3
Not employed-looking	44	41	54	38	49
Not employed-not looking	2	12	4	15	7
No-disability	8	2	6	3	5
No-unavailable to work	2	2	<1	<1	<1
<i>*difference significant at p&lt;.05 or better</i>					

**DIVERSION PROJECTS**

<b>Table 2B: TAD Diversion Projects – Selected Demographic Description of TAD Admissions 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>						
	<b>Ashland†</b>	<b>Bayfield†</b>	<b>Dane</b>	<b>Milwaukee</b>	<b>Washington</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>
	<b>N = 28</b>	<b>N = 44</b>	<b>N = 212</b>	<b>N = 1,597</b>	<b>N = 559</b>	<b>N = 2,440</b>
<b>Living Situation at Admission</b>						
Independent living	50%	54%	7%	21%	53%	28%*
With parents/relatives	11	30	10	75	43	60
Incarcerated	36	11	78	0	<1	8
Residential treatment	0	0	3	<1	0	<1
Halfway house	0	0	<1	0	<1	<1
Transitional living	3	0	0	0	<1	<1
Homeless	0	0	<1	3	0	2
Other	0	5	<1	0	2	<1
<b>Education at Admission</b>						
Grade 10 or below	18%	11%	21%	20%	4%	16%*
Grade 11	14	25	14	19	11	17
High School or grade 12	39	30	29	29	40	31
GED/HSED	7	2	16	9	6	9
Vocational degree/certificate	0	14	8	2	3	3
Some college/1-2 years	18	16	10	17	28	19
Associate degree	4	0	<1	2	2	2
College degree	0	2	<1	2	5	3
Advanced degree	0	0	1	<1	<1	<1
<b>Veteran Status</b>						
	0%	2%	2%	<1%	<1%	<1%
<b>Employed at Admission</b>						
Yes- full-time	29%	30%	9%	17%	58%	26%*
Yes- part-time	14	5	4	14	10	12
Yes-seasonal	0	11	0	4	2	3
Not employed-looking	39	28	17	52	21	41
Not employed-not looking	11	21	63	12	7	16
No-disability	7	5	1	<1	2	1
No-unavailable to work	0	0	6	<1	<1	<1
<i>*difference significant at p&lt;.05 or better †Ashland and Bayfield for CY 2012 and CY 2013.</i>						

**TREATMENT COURTS**

<b>Table 3A: TAD Treatment Courts – Criminal Justice Summary of TAD Admissions 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>					
	<b>Burnett</b>	<b>Washburn</b>	<b>Rock</b>	<b>Wood</b>	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>
	<b>N = 50</b>	<b>N = 42</b>	<b>N = 438</b>	<b>N = 123</b>	<b>N = 653</b>
<b>Offense at Admission</b>					
Drug-related	42%	33%	76%	75%	71%*
Property/fraud	10	29	17	17	17
OWI	32	17	1	0	4
Disorderly conduct	2	7	0	0	<1
Criminal damage/endanger safety	2	5	1	0	1
Bail jumping	4	2	2	4	2
Other	8	7	3	4	4
<b>Admitted as ATR (alternative to probation/parole revocation)</b>	54%	79%	7%	9%	16%*
<b>Average Age at First Arrest</b>	24.1	20.0	20.8	20.3	20.9*
<b>Average Lifetime Arrests</b>	7.7	10.0	6.2	7.1	6.7*
<b>Currently on probation</b>	78%	91%	11%	98%	38%*
<b>Currently on parole (ES)</b>	22%	19%	3%	2%	5%*
<b>Motivation To Change Criminal Behavior (staff rating)</b>					
Low	4%	2%	19%	4%	14%*
Medium	28	5	60	10	44
High	68	93	20	86	41
Missing/No Data/Unable to Rate	0	0	<1	0	<1
<i>*difference significant at p&lt;.05 or better</i>					

**DIVERSION PROJECTS**

<b>Table 3B: TAD Diversion Projects – Criminal Justice Summary of TAD Admissions</b>						
<b>1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>						
	<b>Ashland†</b>	<b>Bayfield†</b>	<b>Dane</b>	<b>Milwaukee</b>	<b>Washington</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>
	<b>N = 28</b>	<b>N = 44</b>	<b>N = 212</b>	<b>N = 1,597</b>	<b>N = 559</b>	<b>N = 2,440</b>
<b>Offense at Admission</b>						
Drug-related	18%	5%	47%	76%	10%	57%*
Property/fraud	21	11	29	14	7	14
OWI	11	43	6	0	76	19
Disorderly conduct	0	18	3	3	2	3
Criminal damage/ endanger safety	4	9	2	2	1	2
Bail jumping	28	5	7	<1	<1	1
Other	18	9	6	5	3	4
<b>Admitted as ATR (alternative to probation/ parole revocation)</b>	0%	5%	12%	N/A	28%	22%*
<b>Average Age at First Arrest</b>	22.3	22.6	21.2	23.5	25.1	23.7*
<b>Average Lifetime Arrests</b>	6.9	10.5	7.9	3.2	3.6	3.9*
<b>Currently on probation</b>	40%	27%	21%	0%	27%	9%*
<b>Currently on parole (ES)</b>	4%	5%	<1%	0%	4%	1%*
<b>Motivation To Change Criminal Behavior (staff rating)</b>						
Low	0%	9%	8%	4%	21%	8%*
Medium	18	55	50	10	61	26
High	82	32	40	4	18	12
Missing/No Data/Unable to Rate	0	4	2	82	<1	54
<i>*difference significant at p&lt;.05 or better †Ashland and Bayfield for CY 2012 and CY 2013.</i>						

**TREATMENT COURTS**

<b>Table 4A: TAD Treatment Courts – Criminal Risk and Need Assessment at Admission of TAD Admissions 1/1/2007 - 12/31/2013</b>					
	<b>Burnett</b>	<b>Washburn</b>	<b>Rock</b>	<b>Wood</b>	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>
	<b>N = 50</b>	<b>N = 42</b>	<b>N = 438</b>	<b>N = 123</b>	<b>N = 653</b>
<b>Risk Assessment Instrument</b>					
WI DOC Risk	12%	0%	0%	0%	<1%*
LSI-R/LSI-RSV/LS/CMI	84	100	45	99	62
Modeling Solutions - LLC	0	0	55	0	37
COMPAS	2	0	0	0	<1
Missing	2	0	<1	<1	<1
<b>Criminal Risk Rating</b>					
Low	14%	7%	47%	13%	35%*
Moderate	44	57	37	56	42
High	40	36	16	30	22
Missing/unknown	2	0	<1	<1	<1
<b>Criminal Need Rating</b>					
Low	0%	0%	4%	2%	3%
Moderate	4	2	10	7	8
High	96	98	86	91	88
Missing/unknown	0	0	<1	0	<1
<i>*difference significant at p&lt;.05 or better</i>					

**DIVERSION PROJECTS**

<b>Table 4B: TAD Diversion Projects – Criminal Risk and Need Assessment at Admission of TAD Admissions 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>						
	<b>Ashland†</b>	<b>Bayfield†</b>	<b>Dane</b>	<b>Milwaukee</b>	<b>Washington</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>
	<b>N = 28</b>	<b>N = 44</b>	<b>N = 212</b>	<b>N = 1,597</b>	<b>N = 559</b>	<b>N = 2,440</b>
<b>Risk Assessment Instrument</b>						
WI DOC Risk	0%	0%	<1%	0%	100	23%*
LSI-R/LSI-RSV/ LSI-CMI	39	96	96	8	0	16
J2K-PRAT/MCPRAI	0	0	0	92	0	60
COMPAS	61	0	0	0	0	<1
Missing	0	4	3	0	0	<1
<b>Criminal Risk Rating</b>						
Low	10%	27%	5%	7%	48%	17%*
Moderate	50	34	41	61	30	52
High	40	34	51	32	22	31
Missing/unknown	0	5	3	0	0	<1
<b>Criminal Need Rating</b>						
Low	0%	14%	3%	8%	37%	14%*
Moderate	0	16	5	78	17	56
High	100	66	92	13	46	29
Missing/unknown	0	4	<1	1	0	<1

*\*difference significant at p<.05 or better †Ashland and Bayfield for CY 2012 and CY 2013.*

**TREATMENT COURTS**

<b>Table 5A: TAD Treatment Courts – Substance Use and Mental Health Description of TAD Admissions 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>					
	<b>Burnett</b>	<b>Washburn</b>	<b>Rock</b>	<b>Wood</b>	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>
	<b>N = 50</b>	<b>N = 42</b>	<b>N = 438</b>	<b>N = 123</b>	<b>N = 653</b>
<b>Substance Use Diagnosis</b>					
Alcohol Dependence	42%	43%	11%	6%	14%*
Cannabis Dependence	10	31	56	54	50
Cocaine Dependence	0	7	7	4	6
Amphetamine Dependence	16	0	<1	0	2
Methamphetamine Dependence	12	5	0	1	2
Opiate Dependence	2	8	22	13	18
Alcohol Abuse	0	2	0	<1	<1
Cannabis Abuse	0	0	<1	1	<1
Polysubstance Dependence	16	2	2	20	6
Polysubstance Abuse	0	2	0	0	<1
Heroin Dependence	0	0	<1	0	<1
Not Assessed	2	0	<1	0	<1
<b>Drug of Choice</b>					
Alcohol	42%	45%	11%	2%	14%*
Amphetamines	12	0	<1	<1	1
Cocaine/crack	0	5	8	7	7
Marijuana	18	36	55	68	53
Opiates	4	7	25	19	21
Methamphetamine	22	7	<1	2	3
Missing/Other	2	0	<1	<1	<1
<b>Motivation To Engage In Substance Abuse Treatment</b>					
Low	6%	0%	28%	5%	20%*
Medium	34	26	56	30	47
High	60	74	15	65	32
Missing/No Data	0	0	<1	0	0.6
<b>Prior AODA Treatment</b>					
Average # of episodes for those with prior treatment	2.42	2.44	2.13	1.89	2.15
% had prior treatment	66%	76%	57%	44%	57%*
<b>Mental Health Disorder</b>					
No Diagnosis	76%	55%	0%	60%	21%*
Axis I Diagnosis	22	45	2	33	12
Not Assessed	2	0	98	7	67
<i>*difference significant at p&lt;.05 or better</i>					

**DIVERSION PROJECTS**

<b>Table 5B: TAD Diversion Projects – Substance Use and Mental Health Description of TAD Admissions 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>						
	<b>Ashland†</b>	<b>Bayfield†</b>	<b>Dane</b>	<b>Milwaukee</b>	<b>Washington</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>
	<b>N = 28</b>	<b>N = 44</b>	<b>N = 212</b>	<b>N = 1,597</b>	<b>N = 559</b>	<b>N = 2,440</b>
<b>Substance Use Diagnosis</b>						
Alcohol Dependence	61%	48%	20%	10%	30%	17%*
Cannabis Dependence	7	5	9	35	6	25
Cocaine Dependence	0	0	20	12	3	11
Amphetamine Depend.	0	0	0	0	<1	0
Methamphetamine Depend.	7	0	0	0	<1	0
Cocaine Abuse	0	0	0	2	8	1
Opiate Dependence	11	2	50	14	49	16
Alcohol Abuse	0	9	<1	<1	3	12
Cannabis Abuse	0	4	0	6	0	5
Polysubstance Depend.	11	2	0	8	0	5
Polysubstance Abuse	0	0	0	10	1	6
No AODA Diag./Other	3	30	0	3	<1	2
<b>Drug of Choice</b>						
Alcohol	61%	61%	21%	12%	77%	30%*
Amphetamines	0	0	0	0	<1	0
Cocaine/crack	0	0	20	15	3	12
Marijuana	7	14	9	44	11	33
Opiates	11	4	49	17	8	17
Hallucinogens	0	0	<1	0	0	0
Methamphetamine	14	0	0	<1	0	<1
Heroin	0	0	0	10	0	6
None/other/missing	7	21	0	2	<1	2
<b>Motivation To Engage In Substance Abuse Treatment</b>						
Low	0%	7%	11%	6%	28%	11%*
Medium	21	59	50	9	58	25
High	79	30	37	4	14	11
Missing/No Data	0	4	2	81	0	53
<b>Prior AODA Treatment</b>						
Average # of episodes for those with prior treatment	2.13	2.33	2.12	1.77	2.00	1.90
% had prior treatment	57%	14%	70%	34%	51%	41%*
<b>Mental Health Disorder</b>						
No Diagnosis	0%	13%	82%	71%	84%	73%*
Axis I Diagnosis	15	39	17	29	2	22
Not Assessed	85	48	1	0	14	5

\*difference significant at  $p < .05$  or better †Ashland and Bayfield for CY 2012 and CY 2013.

**SUMMARY OF TAD PROJECT DISCHARGES**

**TREATMENT COURTS**

<b>Table 6A: TAD Treatment Courts – TAD Completion Rates and Reasons for Participant Termination 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>					
	<b>Burnett</b>	<b>Washburn</b>	<b>Rock</b>	<b>Wood</b>	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>
<b>Completion Rate</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>56%</b>
<b>Number Admitted Thru December 31, 2013</b>	50	42	438	123	653
<b>Number Discharged Thru December 31, 2013</b> (excludes administrative terminations)	43	37	389	101	570
Completed/Graduated	32	19	213	56	320
Terminated	11	18	184	46	259
<b>Reason for Termination [% of those terminated]</b>	N=11	N=18	N=184	N=46	N=259
Program non-compliance	46%	61%	62%	81%	64%
Assessed only	0	0	5	0	4
New charge/arrest/conviction	18	22	18	4	16
Incarcerated	0	6	<1	0	<1
Absconded	36	11	10	11	12
Other (death, mental health issues, transfer to other program)	0	0	4	4	3
<b>Average Length of Stay (in days)</b>	442	400	251	512	320
Graduate/Completers	483	488	326	525	386
Terminations	322	307	163	945	239
<i>*difference significant at p&lt;.05 or better</i>					

**DIVERSION PROJECTS**

<b>Table 6B: TAD Diversion Projects – TAD Completion Rates and Reasons for Participant Termination 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>						
	<b>Ashland†</b>	<b>Bayfield†</b>	<b>Dane</b>	<b>Milwaukee</b>	<b>Washington</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>
<b>Completion Rate</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>68%*</b>
<b>Number Admitted Thru December 31, 2013</b>	28	44	212	1,597	559	2,439
<b>Number Discharged Thru December 31, 2013</b> (excludes administrative terminations)	19	19	201	1,479	539	2,257
Completed/Graduated	12	15	117	999	393	1,536
Terminated	7	6	87	528	152	780
<b>Reason for Termination [% of those terminated]</b>						
Program non-compliance	0%	17%	63%	77%	45%	68%*
Assessed only	0	0	1	9	2	6
New charge/arrest/convtn	86	67	9	0	9	4
Incarcerated	0	0	0	0	36	7
Absconded	14	0	18	0	3	3
Other (death, mental health issues, transfer to other program)	0	16	9	14	5	12
<b>Average Length of Stay (in days)</b>	192	224	99	178	120	158*
Graduate/Completers	274	246	128	193	136	175*
Terminations	51	169	60	150	77	125*
<i>*difference significant at p&lt;.05 or better †Ashland and Bayfield for CY 2012 and CY 2013.</i>						

**TREATMENT COURTS**

<b>Table 7A: TAD Treatment Courts – Services Received By TAD Participants Discharged 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>					
	<b>Burnett</b>	<b>Washburn</b>	<b>Rock</b>	<b>Wood</b>	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>
	<b>N = 43</b>	<b>N = 37</b>	<b>N = 397</b>	<b>N = 102</b>	<b>N = 579</b>
<b>Average Number of Case Manager Contacts</b>	53 contacts	39 contacts	31 contacts	49 contacts	36* contacts
<b>Percent Received....</b>					
AODA inpatient/residential treatment	16%	19%	8%	51%	17%*
AODA halfway house/group home	7	5	1	48	10*
AODA day treatment	0	0	7	95	21*
AODA outpatient treatment	74	5	90	89	83*
AODA outpatient-intensive	12	5	<1	19	5*
AODA outpatient–MATRIX model	47	95	0	2	10*
Support groups (AA, CA, etc)	88	95	36	95	54*
Mental health inpatient treatment	0	5	<1	6	2*
Mental health outpatient treatment	35	46	27	55	34*
Employment services	49	11	50	50	47*
Education services	30	11	37	31	34*
Housing services	35	11	25	31	26*
Assistance with finances	77	43	26	17	29*
<i>*difference significant at p&lt;.05 or better</i>					

## DIVERSION PROJECTS

<b>Table 7B: TAD Diversion Projects – Services Received By TAD Participants Discharged 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>						
	Ashland†	Bayfield†	Dane	Milwaukee	Washington	Diversion Overall
	N = 19	N = 21	N = 204	N = 1527	N = 545	N = 2316
<b>Average Number of Case Manager Contacts</b>	32 contacts	23 contacts	22 contacts	34 contacts	116 contacts	52* contacts
<b>Percent Received....</b>						
AODA inpatient/ residential treatment	5%	5%	58%	8%	2%	11%*
AODA halfway house/group home	0	5	32	<1	<1	4*
AODA day treatment	11	0	3	10	4	8*
AODA outpatient treatment	68	52	63	60	90	67*
AODA outpatient- intensive	0	0	4	5	3	4
AODA outpatient– MATRIX model	5	0	0	<1	5	1*
Support groups (AA, CA, etc)	63	19	39	28	52	35*
Mental health inpatient treatment	0	0	<1	1	<1	<1
Mental health outpatient treatment	5	29	14	16	11	15*
Employment services	5	0	31	28	6	23*
Education services	11	0	9	25	2	18*
Housing services	0	0	21	5	2	6*
Assistance with finances	11	0	24	2	4	4*
<i>*difference significant at p&lt;.05 or better †Ashland and Bayfield for CY 2012 and CY 2013.</i>						

**TREATMENT COURTS**

<b>Table 8A: TAD Treatment Courts – Participant Monitoring Received By TAD Participants Discharged 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>					
	<b>Burnett</b>	<b>Washburn</b>	<b>Rock</b>	<b>Wood</b>	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>
	<b>N =43</b>	<b>N=37</b>	<b>N=397</b>	<b>N=102</b>	<b>N=579</b>
<b>Average # Court Hearings Scheduled</b>	22	22	17	43	22*
Graduates/Completers	56	47	38	50	43*
Terminations	17	18	13	43	19*
<b>Average # Court Hearings Attended</b>	212	21	17	42	22*
Graduates/Completers	23	27	20	43	25*
Terminations	16	14	13	41	18*
<b>Urinalysis Testing</b>					
Average # tests scheduled	98	28	53	141	70
Average # tests negative	97	27	44	127	61
Average # tests positive	1	1	6	9	6
Average # tests other (refused, diluted, tampered, etc.)	0	0	3	4	3
<b>Breathanalysis (PBT) Testing</b>					
Average # tests scheduled	21	40	53	1	43
Average # tests negative	27	41	50	1	42
Average # tests positive	0	0.08	0.26	0	0.20
Average # tests other	0	0	3	0	2
<b>Electronic Monitoring (EM)</b>					
Received any EM	14.0%	16.2%	2.3%	11.8%	5.7%*
Average # days if monitored	N=6 53 days	N=6 20 days	N=9 43 days	N=12 40 days	N=33 40 days
<i>*all differences among sites were significant at p&lt;.05 or better</i>					

**DIVERSION PROJECTS**

**Table 8B: TAD Diversion Projects – Participant Monitoring Received  
By TAD Participants Discharged 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013**

	Ashland†	Bayfield †	Dane	Milwaukee	Washington	Diversion Overall
	N = 19	N=21	N=204	N=1527	N=545	N=2316
<b>Average # Court Hearings Scheduled [DA review for Milw]</b>	0 N=1	2	7	5	<1	4*
Graduates/Completers	NA	3	9	4	0	4*
Terminations	0 N=1	1	5	5	0.79	4*
<b>Average # Court Hearings Attended [DA review for Milw]</b>	0 N=1	2	7	4	0	4*
Graduates/Completers	NA	2	8	4	0	4*
Terminations	0 N=1	1	5	5	0	4*
<b>Urinalysis Testing</b>						
Average # tests scheduled	33	5	31	10	5	11
Average # tests negative	33	4	29	6	4	8
Average # tests positive	0.3	0.8	1	4	0.5	3.
Average # tests other (refused, diluted, tampered, etc.)	0.1	0	0.9	0.6	0.02	0.5
<b>Breathanalysis (PBT) Testing</b>						
Average # tests scheduled	14	16	12	0.37	3	2
Average # tests negative	14	16	12	0.36	3	2
Average # tests positive	0.16	0.20	0.09	0.02	0.04	0.03
Average # tests other	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.01
<b>Electronic Monitoring (EM)</b>						
Received any EM	16%	10%	20%	0.1%	1%	2%*
Average # days if monitored	N=3 35 days	N=2 36 days	N=42 36 days	N=1 14 days	N=7 88 days	N=55 42 days*
*differences among sites were significant at p<.05 or better						
†Ashland and Bayfield for CY 2012 and CY 2013.						
**number of Milwaukee UA tests scheduled were calculated (negative + positive + other) as they do not collect information on scheduled tests.						

**TREATMENT COURTS**

<b>Table 9A: TAD Treatment Courts – Incarceration Days Averted by Site 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>					
	<b>Burnett</b>	<b>Washburn</b>	<b>Rock</b>	<b>Wood</b>	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>
	<b>N =43</b>	<b>N=37</b>	<b>N=397</b>	<b>N=102</b>	<b>N=579</b>
<b>Incarceration Days Averted</b>					
Total Days Averted	13,991	8,400	58,750	11,467	92,608
Average Days Averted Per Discharge	325	227	148	112	160*
<b>Jail Days Averted</b>					
Total Jail Days Averted	3,493	1,830	23,560	6,660	35,543
Average Jail Days Averted	152	63	66	70	70*
<b>Prison Days Averted</b>					
Total Prison Days Averted	10,498	6,570	35,190	4,807	57,065
Average Prison Days Averted	525	821	926	687	782*
<i>*all differences among sites were significant at p&lt;.05 or better</i>					

Note. Incarceration days averted were reported by TAD site staff. If the number of days reported was 364 days or less, they were considered to have been averted from jail incarceration. If the number of days reported was 365 days or more, they were considered to have been averted from prison incarceration.

**DIVERSION PROJECTS**

**Table 9B: TAD Diversion Projects – Incarceration Days Averted by Site 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013**

	<b>Ashland†</b>	<b>Bayfield†</b>	<b>Dane</b>	<b>Milwaukee</b>	<b>Washington</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>
	<b>N = 19</b>	<b>N=21</b>	<b>N=204</b>	<b>N=1527</b>	<b>N=545</b>	<b>N=2316</b>
<b>Incarceration Days Averted</b>						
Total Days Averted	1,571	1,105	15,408	102,633	18,208	138,925
Average Days Averted Per Discharge	83	53	76	67	33	60*
<b>Jail Days Averted</b>						
Total Jail Days Averted	1,571	740	14,148	74,473	14,740	105,672
Average Jail Days Averted	83	37	70	51	27	47*
<b>Prison Days Averted</b>						
Total Prison Days Averted	0	365	1,260	28,160	3,468	33,253
Average Prison Days Averted	0	365	1,260	486	3,468	545*
<i>*all differences among sites were significant at p&lt;.05 or better</i> <i>†Ashland and Bayfield for CY 2012 and CY 2013.</i>						

Note. Incarceration days averted were reported by TAD site staff. If the number of days reported was 364 days or less, they were considered to have been averted from jail incarceration. If the number of days reported was 365 days or more, they were considered to have been averted from prison incarceration.

## TREATMENT COURTS

<b>Table 10A: TAD Treatment Courts – Outcomes by Site 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>					
	<b>Burnett</b>	<b>Washburn</b>	<b>Rock</b>	<b>Wood</b>	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>
	N = 43	N = 37	N = 397	N = 102	N = 579
<b>Case Outcomes:</b>					
Case Dismissed	2%	8%	10%	54%	17%*
Reduced charge	0	0	42	1	29
Completed ATR	70	49	1	1	9
Did Not Complete ATR	23	24	3	2	6
Charged/Prosecution Reinstated	5	11	41	41	36
Other/DPA Remains	0	8	3	1	3
<i>*differences among sites were significant at p&lt;.05 or better</i>					
As of 12/31/2013					

## DIVERSION PROJECTS

<b>Table 10B: TAD Diversion Projects – Outcomes by Site 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>						
	<b>Ashland†</b>	<b>Bayfield†</b>	<b>Dane</b>	<b>Milwaukee</b>	<b>Washington</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>
	N = 19	N = 21	N = 204	N = 1527	N = 545	N = 2,316
<b>Case Outcomes:</b>						
Case Dismissed	21%	10%	9%	45%	0%	31%*
Reduced charge	5	10	25	15	30	19
Completed ATR	0	5	0	0	12	2
Did Not Complete ATR	0	0	1	0	15	4
Charged/Prosecution Reinstated	58	23	19	31	42	33
Other/DPA Remains	16	52	46	9	<1	11
<i>*differences among sites were significant at p&lt;.05 or better</i>						
<i>†Ashland and Bayfield for CY 2012 and CY 2013.</i>						
As of 12/31/2013						

**TREATMENT COURTS**

**Table 11A: TAD Treatment Courts – Graduate vs. Termination Case Outcomes by Site 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013**

	<b>Burnett</b>		<b>Washburn</b>		<b>Rock</b>		<b>Wood</b>		<b>Treatment Court Overall</b>	
	Grad	Term	Grad	Term	Grad	Term	Grad	Term	Grad	Term
<b>Case Outcomes:</b>	N=32	N=11	N=19	N=18	N=213	N=184	N=56	N=46	N=320	N=259
Case Dismissed	3%	0%	5%	11%	18%	0%	98%	0%	30%*	<1%*
Reduced charge	0	0	0	0	77	2	0	2	51	2
Completed ATR	94	0	95	0	2	0	2	0	17	0
Did Not Complete ATR	0	91	0	50	0	7	0	4	0	13
Charged/Prosecution Reinstated	3	9	0	22	<1	87	0	92	<1	80
Other	0	0	0	17	3	4	0	2	2	5
<i>*all differences among sites were significant at p&lt;.05 or better</i>										
As of 12/31/2013										

**DIVERSION PROJECTS**

**Table 11B: TAD Diversion Projects – Graduate vs. Termination Case Outcomes by Site 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013**

	Ashland†		Bayfield†		Dane		Milwaukee		Washington		Diversion Overall	
	Grad	Term	Grad	Term	Grad	Term	Grad	Term	Grad	Term	Grad	Term
<b>Case Outcomes:</b>	N=12	N=7	N=15	N=6	N=117	N=87	N=999	N=528	N=393	N=152	N=1,536	N=780
Case Dismissed	33%	0%	13%	0%	14%	2%	68%	0%	0%	0%	46%*	<1%*
Reduced charge	9	0	13	0	43	1	24	0	41	0	29	<1
Completed ATR	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	15	3	4	<1
Did Not Complete ATR	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	53	0	11
Charged/Prosecution Reinstated	33	100	7	67	5	38	0	89	44	41	12	74
Other/DPA Remains	25	0	60	33	38	55	8	11	0	3	9	14
<i>*all differences among sites were significant at p&lt;.05 or better †Ashland and Bayfield for CY 2012 and CY 2013.</i>												
As of 12/31/2013												

## **CRIMINAL JUSTICE OUTCOMES: CONVICTION, PRISON INCARCERATION, AND RECIDIVISM**

Outcomes data included documentation of (a) any new offense that resulted in a subsequent conviction and (b) any state prison incarceration episode between the date of TAD discharge and December 31, 2013 (data cut-off for these analyses). The PHI evaluation team manually retrieved new conviction data for each of the 3,093 offenders admitted to TAD from the Consolidated Court Access Program (CCAP) website of the Wisconsin Court System. The data abstracted from CCAP included filing, charging, offense, disposition, and sentencing data for the first criminal offense committed after TAD project discharge. To document state prison incarceration of TAD discharges, the Department of Corrections (DOC) provided PHI with prison admission data for each TAD participant. Individual matching was performed based on WI State Identification Number (SID), DOC identification number, name, and birthdate provided to DOC by the PHI evaluation team. The DOC data included prison admission date, reason for admission, and release date for each prison episode within the follow-up period.

The length of follow-up period varied for each TAD participant, with some only a few weeks post-discharge and some up to seven years post-discharge. This variation in follow-up interval was adjusted for in the analyses examining new convictions and prison incarceration within one, two, and three years after TAD discharge. The sample sizes for the separate analyses of criminal justice outcomes were dependent upon whether the analysis included all discharges, or examined only those who had been discharged from TAD projects for at least one year, two years, or three years. To optimize the accuracy and validity of the criminal justice outcomes data the following were excluded from the sample of all 2,895 discharges prior to conducting the outcomes analyses to arrive at the final sample of cases for each analysis:

1. Offenders who died after TAD discharge were excluded from the outcomes analyses as manually verified for each participant by PHI staff using the Social Security Death Index website.
2. Administrative terminations from TAD projects (i.e., extradited, moved out of area, absconded prior to intake, died while in program, or found ineligible after admission) were excluded.
3. Participants currently active in TAD projects on 12/31/2013 were excluded.
4. Participants of Ashland TAD and Bayfield TAD were also excluded because these sites were added to the TAD portfolio in 2012, making them currently inappropriate for valid outcomes analysis.

Tables of results illustrate the presence of a statistical difference between groups using an asterisk (\*) to denote a difference that is significant at  $p < .05$  or better – indicating greater than 95% confidence that the difference(s) did not occur due to chance.

Data Limitations: There are several limitations associated with the data available to document TAD outcomes: (1) Lack of a common identifier across state agency data systems prohibits efficient matching of individual data, (2) the CCAP data system does not always contain case disposition information, (3) the incarceration data does not include incarceration outside of Wisconsin, (4) WI Department of Workforce Development employment data were not available for cost-benefit analyses, and (5) the evaluation scope does not allow for a randomized control group or assessment of offender substance use or treatment participation after TAD discharge.

For the purposes of these analyses, “new conviction” is defined as the first criminal offense committed after discharge from TAD for which there was a subsequent conviction documented in the CCAP data system. The date of the offense was used to determine the first criminal offense. Any criminal offense was included, as well as operating after license revocation/ suspension only for OWI offenders admitted to TAD (other traffic offenses were not included). Cases that received an eventual disposition of “dismissed” or “deferred prosecution” were not counted as convicted of the offense. However, court cases without a documented disposition in CCAP at the time of data collection were considered as convicted of the offense to provide the most conservative estimate possible.

To maximize sample size and to utilize as much data as possible, convictions regardless of length of follow-up time were analyzed. This analysis provides an accurate depiction of all convictions after discharge from TAD in order to provide a broad estimate of the impact on the criminal justice system. To adjust for the wide variation in the length of follow-up in the participants discharged between 2007 and 2013, additional analyses were conducted controlling for time after program discharge. Analyses determined the percent of TAD discharges that committed a new offense for which they were later convicted within one, two, and three years after their project discharge date. Only those who had been discharged at least one year, two years, or three years were included in each portion of the cumulative analyses, and offenses that were committed more than three years after TAD discharge were not included.

### TREATMENT COURTS

<b>Table 12A: TAD Treatment Courts – Any New Conviction After TAD Discharge By Site 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>					
	<b>Burnett</b>	<b>Washburn</b>	<b>Rock</b>	<b>Wood</b>	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>
	N = 42	N = 37	N = 379	N = 100	N = 558
<b>Any New Conviction after TAD Discharge</b>	57%	43%	36%	39%	39%
<b>Offense within One Year that Resulted in a Conviction</b>	29%	24%	18%	22%	20%
<b>Offense within Two Years that Resulted in a Conviction</b>	45%	40%	30%	36%	32%
<b>Offense within Three Years that Resulted in a Conviction</b>	54%	61%	42%	44%	45%

*\*differences among sites were significant at p<.05 or better Note. As of 12/31/2013*

### DIVERSION PROJECTS

<b>Table 12B: TAD Diversion Projects – Any New Conviction After TAD Discharge By Site 1/1/2007 – 12/31/2013</b>						
	<b>Ashland†</b>	<b>Bayfield†</b>	<b>Dane</b>	<b>Milwaukee</b>	<b>Washington</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>
	N = 0	N = 0	N = 200	N = 1455	N = 530	N = 2,185
<b>Any New Conviction after TAD Discharge</b>	N/A	N/A	50%	34%	36%	36% *
<b>Offense within One Year that Resulted in a Conviction</b>	N/A	N/A	34%	19%	22%	21% *
<b>Offense within Two Years that Resulted in a Conviction</b>	N/A	N/A	50%	30%	35%	33% *
<b>Offense within Three Years that Resulted in a Conviction</b>	N/A	N/A	62%	41%	44%	43% *

*\*differences among sites were significant at p<.05 or better  
†Ashland and Bayfield not included in criminal justice outcomes analysis  
Note. As of 12/31/2013*

To maximize sample size and to utilize as much available data as possible, Wisconsin state prison incarcerations regardless of length of follow-up time were also analyzed. This analysis provides an accurate depiction of all prison incarcerations after discharge from TAD in order to provide a broad estimate of the impact on the criminal justice system. To adjust for the wide variation in the length of follow-up in the participants discharged in the first seven years of TAD implementation, additional analyses were conducted controlling for time. These analyses summarize the percent of TAD discharges that were admitted to state prison within one, two, and three years after their project discharge. Only those who had been discharged from TAD at least one year, two years, or three years were included in each portion of the cumulative analyses, and incarceration episodes that began more than three years after TAD discharge were not included.

### TREATMENT COURTS

	<b>Burnett</b>	<b>Washburn</b>	<b>Rock</b>	<b>Wood</b>	<b>Tx Court Overall</b>
	N = 42	N = 37	N = 379	N = 100	N = 558
<b>Any State Prison Admission for New Offense or Revocation After TAD Discharge</b>	10%	27%	20%	12%	18%
<b>Admitted to Prison for New Offense or Revocation Within:</b>					
<b>One Year</b>	5%	10%	11%	4%	9%
<b>Two Years</b>	7%	4%	17%	8%	14% *
<b>Three Years</b>	12%	6%	19%	14%	17%

*\*differences among sites were significant at p<.05 or better Note. As of 12/13/2013*

### DIVERSION PROJECTS

	<b>Ashland†</b>	<b>Bayfield†</b>	<b>Dane</b>	<b>Milwaukee</b>	<b>Washington</b>	<b>Diversion Overall</b>
	N = 0	N = 0	N = 200	N = 1455	N = 530	N = 2,185
<b>Any State Prison Admission for New Offense or Revocation After TAD Discharge</b>	N/A	N/A	26%	8%	10%	10% *
<b>Admitted to Prison for New Offense or Revocation Within:</b>						
<b>One Year</b>	N/A	N/A	12%	2%	4%	3% *
<b>Two Years</b>	N/A	N/A	23%	5%	6%	7% *
<b>Three Years</b>	N/A	N/A	27%	7%	7%	9% *

*\*differences among sites were significant at p<.05 or better  
†Ashland and Bayfield not included in criminal justice outcomes analysis  
As of 12/31/2013*

### Three-Year Recidivism after TAD Discharge

Recidivism of TAD participants was also assessed utilizing parameters as consistent as possible with the Wisconsin Department of Corrections definition of recidivism given the differences in populations. The definition used includes: Offense committed within three years that resulted in both conviction and a sentence that included probation supervision or state prison incarceration. Utilizing CCAP data on offense date, case disposition, and sentencing, the current analyses are based on TAD discharges with a new criminal conviction for an offense committed within three years of TAD discharge. Additionally this analysis includes only those participants three or more years post-TAD discharge at the time of data collection.

<b>TABLE 14: TAD 3-Year Recidivism Rates by Project Site</b>										
	<b>Ashland</b>	<b>Bayfield</b>	<b>Dane</b>	<b>Milwaukee</b>	<b>Washington</b>	<b>Burnett</b>	<b>Washburn</b>	<b>Rock</b>	<b>Wood</b>	<b>Overall</b>
	<b>N = 0</b>	<b>N = 0</b>	<b>N = 127</b>	<b>N = 1,027</b>	<b>N = 326</b>	<b>N = 26</b>	<b>N = 18</b>	<b>N = 213</b>	<b>N = 52</b>	<b>N = 1,789</b>
3-Year Recidivism Rate	N/A	N/A	30%	18%	10%	15%	33%	16%	19%	17% *
<i>*difference significant at <math>p &lt; .05</math> or better</i>										