

DATA INFORMATION SERIES

# *Revocation Only Prison Admissions* *(1990 - 2014)*



May 2015

**Office of the Secretary  
Research and Policy Unit**

Inquiries regarding this report may be directed to author:

Megan Jones, Ph.D.


(608) 240-5806

Megan.Jones@wisconsin.gov

State of Wisconsin  
**Department of Corrections**


**Prison Admissions by Type (1990-2014)**

The graph below shows all physical admissions to WI DOC adult prisons and contract facilities between 1990 and 2014 (see page 4 for a complete table of admission numbers by admission type).



Note: Admission numbers exclude temporary holds


**2014 Admissions by Type**



**Admission Trends...**

- Revocation Only admissions peaked in 2006-2007, and have since been on a decline
- New sentence admissions (with and without revocations) have remained stable


**Percent Change –Revocation Only Admissions**



### Revocation Only Admissions


Revocation only admissions have significantly decreased since 2007 (the last year that the data was pulled and used for the JRI analysis).

Approximately 33.5% of the revocation only admissions presented on the prior page ultimately received new sentences as a result of the behavior that they were revoked for. Thus, the actual number of admissions for revocation only is a third lower than what is presented in the graph, and the number of revocation with new sentence admissions is higher.



### 2012 Revocation Only Admissions Confinement Time

For those offenders who were admitted as true revocation only admissions in 2012 (excluding the 33% that ultimately received new sentences), the average confinement time was 16.7 months, however the range of confinement times was very large (see graph below). Removing sex offenders from the 2012 revocation only admissions lowered the average confinement time to 15.5 months.



*Table 1. Admissions by Type\**

Admission Year	New Sentence Only		Revocation Only		Revocation with New Sentence		Other	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1990	1,745	46.6%	1,134	30.3%	714	19.1%	150	4.0%
1991	2,000	47.2%	1,258	29.7%	767	18.1%	213	5.0%
1992	2,366	46.0%	1,442	28.0%	988	19.2%	353	6.9%
1993	2,701	46.5%	1,428	24.6%	1,017	17.5%	657	11.3%
1994	2,552	39.8%	1,754	27.3%	1,400	21.8%	712	11.1%
1995	2,685	38.1%	1,915	27.2%	1,506	21.4%	937	13.3%
1996	2,910	38.1%	2,138	28.0%	1,640	21.5%	954	12.5%
1997	2,568	34.4%	2,409	32.2%	1,571	21.0%	925	12.4%
1998	2,647	31.5%	3,270	39.0%	1,342	16.0%	1,135	13.5%
1999	2,584	30.4%	3,596	42.3%	1,171	13.8%	1,159	13.6%
2000	2,689	32.2%	3,744	44.9%	1,046	12.5%	864	10.4%
2001	2,799	32.9%	3,965	46.6%	979	11.5%	766	9.0%
2002	3,037	34.3%	4,224	47.8%	1,046	11.8%	539	6.1%
2003	2,955	33.1%	4,494	50.4%	1,063	11.9%	408	4.6%
2004	2,979	30.9%	4,528	46.9%	1,172	12.2%	967	10.0%
2005	2,495	25.7%	4,854	49.9%	1,160	11.9%	1,217	12.5%
2006	2,656	25.2%	5,466	51.8%	1,145	10.9%	1,280	12.1%
2007	2,351	22.8%	5,441	52.7%	1,193	11.6%	1,330	12.9%
2008	2,519	25.3%	4,905	49.3%	1,314	13.2%	1,208	12.1%
2009	2,384	25.2%	4,914	52.0%	1,185	12.5%	961	10.2%
2010	2,629	29.3%	4,539	50.6%	1,070	11.9%	738	8.2%
2011	2,601	30.9%	4,220	50.1%	924	11.0%	681	8.1%
2012	2,587	31.7%	4,059	49.7%	893	10.9%	633	7.7%
2013	2,669	30.7%	4,078	46.9%	1,013	11.6%	941	10.8%
2014	2,863	32.9%	3,726	42.9%	1,115	12.8%	987	11.4%

\*Admission numbers presented in this table **do not** reflect the 33.5% of revocation only admissions that ultimately received new sentences; rather these numbers are based on offenders' original admission codes. Additionally, admission numbers exclude temporary holds.